AN UPDATE ON TREATMENT PROTOCOLS IN BREAST CARCINOMA

Shaily Tyagi, Priti Khanduri, Dr. Sanjay Singh Siddhartha Institute of Pharmacy Near IT Park Road, Danda Khudanewala, Dehradun, Uttarakhand

Abstract

The treatment protocols of carcinoma is dependent upon disease staging, tumor characteristics such asmetastasis, status of molecular markers like ER, PR, and HER2 new. . Treatment protocols involve, surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapies and combinations of all three. This review article deals with various chemotherapeutic protocols which are being tested under various clinical trials and their mechanisms of actions. Also, recent advancement as immunotherapy inclusion has also been included. Key words: Breast carcinoma, chemotherapy, protocols, treatment. Modified radical mastectomies, breast conserving surgeries, oncoplastic breast surgery.

Introduction

Breast carcinoma is a leading cause of death in less-developed countries. The incidence of breast carcinoma is 25% higher in developing countries when compared to developed nations. It varies widely among different populations. Women in western Europe and United States have a very high incidence then women in the most of the other parts of the world, possibly in part because of high intake of animal proteins and fat and probably linked to the high caloric intake and increase rate of obesity. Caucasian women in USA are more likely to develop breast cancer compared with African American women. Breast carcinoma therapy is dependent upon disease staging and tumor characteristics. Treatment involves chemotherapy, surgery, radiation and hormonal therapies. Administration of chemotherapeutic drugs before surgery is known as 'neoadjuvant chemotherapy' and is the standard protocol for locally advanced disease. The most commonly used chemotherapy agents include- Anthracyclins and Taxanes. Anthracyclins include drugs such as- Doxorubicin and Epirubicin while Taxanes include- Paclitaxel and Docetaxel. Additionally, these agents are used in combination with other agents such as Cyclophosphamide and Fluorouracil.²

SCREENING DIAGNOSIS AND PRE-TREATMENT EVALUATION

Screening- Because the more and more lives can be saved by early diagnosis. the standard protocol for the breast cancer screening is-1, montly breast examination after puberty and yearly breast examination after 20 years of age. 2, mamography- It reduces the mortality by 25 % to 30 % in women older than 50 years. It should be done by an experienced physician at the age of 40 years as a baseline, every 1 to 2 years between the age of 40 to 50 years and every year after 50 years of age.

Outcomes in breast carcinoma – depends upon the stage of disease

The standard TNM classification for the stage is usually done before starting any treatment of breast cancer. Although the definite stage is done after surery, which helps in planning further treatment and explaining the prognosis of disease.

The standard protocol for proper staging of this disease is PET CT scan. It can detect the distant metastasis and accurate local and regional metasis of carcinoma of breast.

Evaluations of clinical outcomes in breast carcinoma is generally assessed on basis of following parameters such as-²

- I. Primary outcomes:²
- a. Pathological complete response: It is defined as 'complete response of primary or axillary lymph nodes or both' 2

- b. Overall response: It is defined as 'complete disappearance of clinically palpable tumor (complete clinical response) or >50% reduction in tumor volume (clinical partial response).²
- Secondary outcomes: These include-² П
 - a. Clinical complete response: It is defined as 'complete disappearance of clinically palpable tumor' ²
 - b. Pathological complete response of primary with ductal carcinoma in situ: It is defined as 'complete response of primary regardless of axilla but allowing for ductal carcinoma in situ'.²
 - c. Loco-regional recurrence: It is defined as 'carcinoma recurrence in local or regional area'. ²
 - d. Distant metastasis: it is defined as 'time from randomization to carcinoma in local or distant sites ²
 - e. Disease-free survival: It is defined as 'time from randomization to carcinoma recurrence in local or distant sites. ²
 - f. death due to any cause'. ²

Standard treatment protocols-

- 1 Stage one tumour- Ouardectomy +axillary lymph node dissection+ chemotherapy + radiotherapy (breast conserving surgery).
- 2 Modified radical mastectomy+ breast reconstruction+ CCT + RT.
- 3 Stage 2 and 3- Modified redical mastectomy +CCT +RT. Age less than 45 years-hormonal manipulation by ovarian ablection either by RT or surgical.
- 4, stage 4 locally advanced cancer- Neo adjuvant chemotherapy+ simple mastectomy+ axillary lymph node dissection if lymph nodes are not fixed.+RT+ hormonal treatment.
- 5, Metastatic breast cancer- chemotherapy+ targeted Chemotherapy+ palliative RT (for bony pains or for control of locally advanced disease).

Selection of chemotherapeutic agents against breast carcinoma

The treatment choice in advanced breast carcinoma is governed by ESO-ESMO second international consensus guidelines. These are governed by various factors like- tumor biology (hormonal receptor and HER2 expression) and subject characteristics such as- age, menopausal status and comorbidities.

Those triple-negative breast carcinoma cases which lack therapeutic targets, chemotherapy remains the main mode of treatment.³ In HER2-positive subjects, treatment depends on HER2 blockade. Most commonly utilized treatment strategies include- HER2-target agents along with combination of chemotherapy via oral or intravenous route and/or hormonal therapy.

Though the treatment duration and response rates reduce as numbers of lines of therapy increase much beyond the first-line drug regimen.

Selection of chemotherapy agents is not tailored as per molecular profiles except in case of Platinumbased agents for BRCA-associated breast carcinomas.

Both Capecitabine and Vinorelbine have demonstrated significant efficacy as well as tolerability in metastatic breast carcinoma specially, as second- and third-line therapy drugs after failure of Taxane therapy. In HER2-positive metastatic breast carcinoma cases, both Capecitabine and Vinorelbine (through i.v. route) have shown significant efficacy as well as tolerability along with HER2-targeted

Development of drug resistance has been reported to low-dose cyclophosphamide therapy but similar doing has been shown to be sensitive towards maximum tolerated dose in metastatic disease.⁴

The standard chemotherapeutic regimen in subjects with lymph node-positive breast carcinoma is a combination of an anthracyclin and a taxane (i.e., 4 cycles of doxorubicin plus cyclophosphamide (AC) which is followed by 4 cycles of Paclitaxel). Also, HER2-positive breast carcinomas are associated with better clinical responsiveness to anthracyclin-based chemotherapy regimen.⁷

Docetaxel, is the most preferred taxane in metastatic breast carcinoma cases specially in those treated with anthracyclins. Both docetaxel and paclitaxel have shown to improve outcomes on incorporation with anthracycline-based polychemotherapeutic protocols.^{9,10}

Oral route versus intravenous routes of drug administration

Most commonly used oral chemotherapeutic agents against breast carcinoma include- Lapatinib, Everolinius and Palbociclib which significantly improve the patient outcome. Oral route bears certain advantages over intravenous route as it is more convenient and dosing can be tailor-designed as per clinician's decision. However, there are major disadvantages associated with oral route such as-dosing mistakes, when the patient forgets the treatment breaks used in some drugs (for example, 1 to 2 weeks for Capecitabine) or wrong intake of number of pills alongwith improper handling of drug which are in pill form. Thus, continuous patient education is important to help improve the efficacy, compliance and quality of life.⁵

'Metronomic chemotherapy' is defined as- 'Administration of chemotherapy for prolonged time periods at a relatively low non-toxic dosage without long intervals of rest'. 5,11,12,13,14 This approach bears multiple advantages like- oral administration, ease of administration, low toxic rate, delivery of dosedense rather than dose-intense regimens. However, oral administration of certain drugs such as-cyclophosphamide in conventional doses has certain drawbacks like- alterations in pharmacokinetics acquired resistance, increased risk of unfavorable drug interactions such as undergoing metabolism by cytochrome P34A pathway. However, oral metronomic cyclophosphamide administration has shown significant reduction in severity of toxic side-effects whether used alone or in combination with Methotrexate. 5

Mechanisms of action

There are multiple mechanisms of action for chemotherapy which include immune system upregulation, antiangiogenic activity and direct tumor cell targeting. The antiangiogenic effects are- targeting of tumor microvasculature, increased thrombospondin (angiogenic inhibitor), apoptosis inhibition, downregulation of progenitor endothelial cell mobilization from bone marrow, targeting of cancer stem cells and hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF- 1α) inhibition.⁴

Combination therapies

Combined metronomic drug regimens demonstrate significant activity as well as tolerability in metastatic breast carcinoma. The first such drug combination explored in metastatic breast disease was cyclophosphamide combined with methotrexate.⁴

In a phase II trial, combination of oral cyclophosphamide, Capecitabine and Vinorelbine has demonstrated a complete biological response of 85% in first time diagnosed cases while a 72% response in previously treated subjects with hormone receptor positive metastatic breast carcinoma.⁴

Besides this, combination with targeted agents such as-cyclophosphamide, methotreaxate with Transtuzumab or Cyclophosphamide, Capecitabine and Bevacizumab have demonstrated variabilities in clinical biologic response of 46% and 68%, respectively. 4,5

On comparing the conventional treatment regimen protocol comprising of cyclophosphamide-methotrexate-5-fluorouracil, the anthracyclin-containing treatment such as Adriamycin-Cyclophosphamide or Epirubucin-Cyclophosphamide have proven to be more effective inreduction of recurrence and overall mortality rates. Additionally, inclusion of Taxanes to Anthracyclin-containing chemotherapy has also shown an increase in disease-free survival rates as well as mortality.⁷

Anthracyclin-Cyclophosphamide along with Paclitaxel-Docetaxel whether used in combination or sequential regimen demonstrates superior results when compared with Anthracyclin regimens.⁹

A co-administration of low-dose cyclophosphamide (CTX) and methotrexate (MTX) in pre-treated breast carcinoma subjects has demonstrated minimal toxic side-effects and reduction in neoangiogenesis. Other chemotherapeutic agents that have shown anti-tumor efficiency due to reduction in vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) expression (inhibiting Neovascularization) include-Doxorubicin and Paclitaxel. 10,11

Side-effects of chemotherapeutic agents used in treatment of breast carcinoma

The toxic chemotherapy-induced side-effects of dose-dense AC-Paclitaxel regimen include major hematological changes such as- decrease in hemoglobin, febrile neutropenia, thrombocytopenia along with other side-effects of varying organ systems such as cutaneous rash, dyspnea, pneumonia, dysphagia, peripheral neuropathy etc. ⁷ The severity grade of side-effects due to chemotherapeutic agents whether via oral or intravenous route outweighs the clinical benefits thereby majorly affecting the quality of life. The incidence of side-effects such as- nausea, vomiting and mucositis due to Docetaxel is considerably less and also tolerable though chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy has been observed in subjects undergoing taxane therapy.^{8,9}

However, taxane administration such as of Docetaxel and Paclitaxel demonstrate significantly less fetal defects and other maternal complications when administered in second and third trimester of pregnancy. However, Trastuzumab use in pregnant females is associated with anhydramnios oligohydramnios. 17,18

Immunotherapy in breast carcinoma

Cancer immunotherapy helps in eradicating tumor burden by remodeling the host immune system. 18,19 This approach is specially, important in metastatic triple-negative breast cancer. However, the overall response rate remains low (19-23%). Additionally, adverse effects such as- checkpoint inhibitions result in cardiotoxicity. Numerous viruses such as plant viruses and phages have been utilized as carriers for targeted drug delivery. Cowpea mosain virus (CPMV) has been demonstrated to induce antitumor response on their introduction into tumor microenvironment as an in-situ vaccine. This virus acts by activating the innate immune response by recalibration of the host immune response. Cai et al (2019) have demonstrated that a combination of CPMV with cyclophosphamide suppresses the tumor growth and inhibits lung metastasis.²⁰

Hormon therapy in breast cancer- Tamoxifen 20 mg daily is recmonded for 5 years in ER, PR positive patents. Other drugs – anastrozole, letrozole, exemestane, toremifene and reloxifen are used as hormone treatment.

Conclusion

Chemotherapeutic protocols in various stages of breast carcinoma are met by challenges such as induction of side-effects, development of drug resistance and also, residual disease. During last few years, multiple protocols have been studied and experimented upon in form of clinical trials to test the efficacy as well as less morbid situations. Recently, immunotherapy has been ventured upon but promising results are yet to be ascertained. Thus, it remains a subject of continuous trials where the challenge of reducing the disease burden remains.

References

- 1. Joyce O'Shaughnessy. Extending Survival with Chemotherapy in Metastatic Breast Cancer. Oncologist 2005;10;20-9.
- 2. Pathak M, Dwivedi SN, Deo SVS, Thakur B, Sreenivas V, Rath GK. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy regimens in treatment of breast cancer: a systemic review and network meta-analysis protocol. Systematic Reviews 2018;7:89-97.

- 3. O'Reilly EA, Gubbin L. Sharma S, Tully R, Guang MHL, Weiner-Gerzel K, McCaffrey J et al. The fate of chemoresistance in priple negative breast cancer. BBA Clin 2015;3:257-75.
- 4. Carcloso F, Colleoni M, Di Leo A, Franco G, Gennari A, Gugorori J, Llombart A. Oral chemotherapy in advanced breast cancer: expert perspectives on its role in clinical practice. Carc Treat Comm 2016;6S1:S1-10.
- 5. Li SG, Li L. Targeted therapy in HER2-positive breast cancer. Biomed Reports 2013;1:499-505.
- 6. Gebbia V, Boussen H, Valerio MR. Oral metronomic cyclophosphamide with or without methotrexate as palliative treatment for patients with metastatic breast carcinoma. Anticanc Res 2010;32:529-36.
- 7. Tashkandi E, Yan M, Younus J, Jawaid MA, Hamm C, Kulkarni S. Gupta R et al. real world experience with dose dense ac-paclitaxel: Two Canadian cancer center's experience. J Solid Tum 2015;5(2):86-93.
- 8. Watanabe T, Kuranami M, Inoue K, Masuda N, Aogi K, Ohno S, Iwata H et al. Comparison of an AC-Taxane versus AC-free regimen and Paclitaxel versus Docetaxel in patients with lymph node-positive breast cancer: Final results of the National surgical adjuvant study of breast cancer O2 trial, a randomized comparative phase 3 study. Cancer 2017;123:759-68.
- 9. Roy C, Choudhury KB, Pal M, Saha A, Bag S, Banerjee C. Adjuvant chemotherapy with six cycles of AC regimen versus three cycles of AC-regimen followed by three cycles of PAclitaxel in node-positive breast cancer. Ind J Canc 2012;49(3):266-75.
- 10. Colleoni M, Rocca A, Sandri MT, Zorzino L, Masci G, Nole F, Peruzzoti G, Robertson C et al. Low-dose oral methotreaxate and cyclophosphamide in metastatic breast cancer: anti-tumor activity and concentration with vascular endothelial growth factor levels. Annals Oncol 2002;13:73-80.
- 11. Buzdar AU, Singletary SE, Valero V, Booser DJ, Ibrahim NK, Rahman Z, Theriault RL. Evaluation of Palitaxel in adjuvant chemotherapy for patients with operable breast cancer: Preliminary data of a prospective randomized trial. Clin Canc Res 2002;8:1073-9.
- 12. Crown J, O'Leary M, Ooi WS. Docetaxel and Paclitaxel in the treatment of breast cancer: A review of clinical experience. The Oncologist 2004;9(Suppl 2):24-32.
- 13. Torimura T, Iwamoto H, Nakamura T, Koga H, Ueno T, Kerbel RS et al. Metronomic chemotherapy: Possible clinical application in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. Trans Oncol 2013;6(5):511-9.
- 14. Liao WY, Chan TS, Tsai KK. The novel roles of stromal fibroblasts in metronomic chemotherapy. Focusing on cancer stemness and immunity. J Can Res Prac 2017;4:123-6.
- 15. Norrby K. Metronomic chemotherapy and anti-angiogenesis: can upgraded pre-clinical assays improve clinical trials aimed at controlling tumor growth? APMIS 2014; 122: 565–579.
- 16. Shaked Y, Emmenegger U, Man S, Cervi D, Bertolini F, Ben-David Y, Kerbel RS. Optimal biologic dose of metronomic chemotherapy regimens is associated with maximal antiangiogenic activity. Blood 2005;106:3058-61.
- 17. Monteiro DLM, Trajano AJB, Menezes DCS, Silveira NLM, Magalhaes AC, de Miranda FRD, caldas B. Breast cancer during pregnancy and chemotherapy:a systematic review. Rev Assoc Med Bras 2013;59(2):174-80.oma. Trans Oncol 2013;6(5):511-9.
- 18. Rasic A, Sofic A, Beslija S, Rasic I, Hasanbegovic B. Effects of adding taxane to anthracycline-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy in locally advanced breast cancer. Med Glas (Zenica) 2019; 16(1):77-82.
- 19. Naoum GE, Morkos M, Kim B, Arafat W. Novel targeted therapies and immunotherapy for advanced thyroid cancers. Mol Canc 2018;17:51-65.
- 20. Cai H, Wlbainang C, Shukla S, Steinmetz NF. Cowpea mosaic virus immunotherapy combined with cyclophosphamide reduces breast cancer tumor burden and inhibits lung metastasis. Adv Sci 2019;1802281;doi:10.1002.
- 21. Albain KS, Nag SM, Calderillo-Ruiz et al, Gemcitabine plus paclitexel versus paclitaxel monotherapy in patients with metaststic breast cancer and prior anthracline treatment. J Cln Oncol 2008;26 (24):3950-3957.
- 22. Recht A Edge SB, Solin Ij. Etel Post mastectomy Radiotherapy: Clinical practice guidelines of American Society of Clinical Oncology. J Clin Oncol. 2001;19(5);1539-1569.
- 23. SparanoJA Wang M Martino S etel Weekly paclitexel in adjuvant treatment of breast cancer, NEng J med 2008;358(16):1663-1671.
- 24. Veronesi U, Cascinelli N Mariani L etel. 20 Year followup of randomized study compairing breast conserving surgery with redical mastectomy for early breast cancer N Eng J Med 2002;347(16)1227-12232.
- 25. Nabholtz J, Pienkowski T, Mackey Jetel, Phase 3 trials compairing TAC with FAC in adjuvant treatment of node positive breast cancer patients; intrim analysis of the BCIRG 001 study Proc AM soc clin ONCOL 2002;21 ABSTR 141.